

The Honorable James L. Robart

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

LESLIE JACK, individually and as Personal
Representative of PATRICK JACK; DAVID
JACK, individually,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

~~PROPOSED~~ STIPULATION AND
ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO
DEFENDANT THE NASH
ENGINEERING COMPANY

STIPULATION

Defendant The Nash Engineering Company and Plaintiffs Leslie Jack, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Patrick Jack, and David Jack, individually, hereby stipulate that all claims herein brought against The Nash Engineering Company may be dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party, reserving to Plaintiffs all rights of action, claims, and demands against any and all other parties. Plaintiffs and The Nash Engineering Company further stipulate to the entry of the following Order of Dismissal without further Notice.

///

///

~~PROPOSED~~ STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO
DEFENDANT THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY - 1
2:17-cv-00537 JLR

Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC
601 Union Street, Suite 4100
Seattle, Washington 98101-2380
(206) 628-6600

1 DATED this 23rd day of May, 2018.

DATED this 23rd day of May, 2018.

2 WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC

SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER

3
4 By s/Dana C. Kopij
Dana C. Kopij, WSBA #31648

By s/Benjamin H. Adams
Thomas J. Breen, WSBA #34574
Lucas Garrett, WSBA #38452
Kristin Houser, WSBA #7286

5
6 *Attorneys for Defendant The Nash
Engineering Company*

DEAN OMAR BRANHAM LLP

7 Benjamin H. Adams (*Pro Hac Vice*)

8
9 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Leslie Jack,
Individually and as Personal Representative
of Patrick Jack, and David Jack, Individually*

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
~~PROPOSED~~ STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO
DEFENDANT THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY - 2
2:17-cv-00537 JLR

Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC
601 Union Street, Suite 4100
Seattle, Washington 98101-2380
(206) 628-6600


ORDER OF DISMISSAL

THIS MATTER having come on regularly for hearing before this Court upon the foregoing Stipulation, and the Court being fully advised in the premises.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' claims against defendant The Nash Engineering Company are dismissed in their entirety with prejudice and without costs to either party, reserving to Plaintiffs all of Plaintiffs' rights of action, claims and demands against any and all other parties.

The pending motion for summary judgment filed by The Nash Engineering Company is denied as moot (DKT. # 360).

DATED this 24th day of May, 2018.



HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

PRESENTED BY:

WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC

By s/Dana C. Kopij

Dana C. Kopij, WSBA #31648

Attorneys for Defendant The Nash Engineering Company

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT BY:

SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER

By s/Benjamin H. Adams

Thomas J. Breen, WSBA #34574

Lucas Garrett, WSBA #38452

Kristin Houser, WSBA #7286

DEAN OMAR BRANHAM LLP

Benjamin H. Adams (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Leslie Jack,

Individually and as Personal Representative of Patrick Jack, and David Jack, Individually

~~[PROPOSED]~~ STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO
DEFENDANT THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY - 3
2:17-cv-00537 JLR

Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC
601 Union Street, Suite 4100
Seattle, Washington 98101-2380
(206) 628-6600